

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,

CASE NO. C19-0990JLR

11 Plaintiffs,

ORDER ON MOTION TO
WITHDRAW

12 v.

13 CHUN WONG, et al.,

14 Defendants.

15 Before the court is a motion to withdraw as counsel for Defendant Chun Wong
16 filed by attorneys Cory J. Rosenbaum and Stephen M. Hansen. (Mot. (Dkt. # 69).)
17 Plaintiffs Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”) and Nite Ize, Inc. (“Nite Ize”) (collectively,
18 “Plaintiffs”) have not opposed the motion. (*See generally* Dkt.)

19 An attorney seeking to withdraw from a case in a manner that will leave a party to
20 the case unrepresented must seek the court’s leave to do so by filing a motion. *See Local*
21 *Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2(b)(1)*. The motion must contain a certification that it “was

22 //

1 served on the client and opposing counsel,” and must also provide the client’s “address
2 and telephone number.” *Id.*

3 Mr. Rosenbaum and Mr. Hansen certify that they served the motion on Plaintiffs’
4 counsel using the court’s CM/ECF system. (Mot. at 3.) However, neither the motion nor
5 Mr. Rosenbaum’s declaration certify that “the motion was served on” Mr. Wong, *see*
6 Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2(b)(1), only that Mr. Rosenbaum advised Mr. Wong
7 on March 24, 2022 that “if there was no cooperation or efforts on his behalf relative to
8 the [c]ourt’s rulings and Orders [Mr. Rosenbaum and Mr. Hansen] would be forced to
9 withdraw” (*see* Rosenbaum Decl. (Dkt. # 70) ¶ 7 (asserting that Mr. Rosenbaum and Mr.
10 Hansen notified Mr. Wong of their intention to withdraw as his counsel based on his
11 failure to assist them in his defense on March 24, 2022)). Additionally, Mr. Rosenbaum
12 and Mr. Hansen have failed to provide Mr. Wong’s current contact information. (*See*
13 generally Mot.; Rosenbaum Decl.)

14 Because Mr. Rosenbaum and Mr. Hansen have not established their compliance
15 with the court’s local rules, *see* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2(b)(1), their motion to
16 withdraw (Dkt. # 69) is DENIED without prejudice to their refiling a motion that
17 contains the required certifications.

18 Dated this 18th day of April, 2022.

19
20
21
22



JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge